

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/696,114	10/25/2000	Toru Kobayashi	00745/LH	4404	
1933 73	590 12/10/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
FRISHAUF, HOLTZ, GOODMAN & CHICK, PC 767 THIRD AVENUE			HEWITT II, CALVIN L		
25TH FLOOR	. 2 2		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK,	NY 10017-2023		3621		
			DATE MAILED: 12/10/200	DATE MAILED: 12/10/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/696,114	KOBAYASHI ET AL.	
ravioury riodon	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Calvin L Hewitt II	3621	•
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 01 December 2004 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to ave final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application at the control of the control	ation. A proper reply n places the applica	y to a tion in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF f extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection IE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The appropriates are set in the final of the	on. See MPEP  opriate extension opriate extension Office action: or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF			·
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	• •	
(a)  they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	·	,,	
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sin	nplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims	s.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed a	amendment
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consi	dered but does NOT	Γ place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims wo	(s) a)  will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo		nd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:		•	
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			-
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.	- 1
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen			
10. Other:	7/12/2/2/2/2/		
•	S	JAMES P. TIME UPERVISORY TECHNIC	SOUL SOUL

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Claim 150 requires further consideration in order to determine if the prior art reads on its limitations. In addition proposed changes to claim 124 do not further limit the print service station hence, the prior art continues to read on claim 124...